PAGE 23/29 * RCVD AT 1/81/2007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR: USPTO-EFXRF-11/16 * DINIS:27/38/300 * CSID: 97.48867 * DURATION (mm-55): 15-02 TREVLET

APPENDIX C - 2 PAGES | Spat pecet < pat2pct@gmail.com

From Mordechai Hammer - Invintor of patent application 08/893,211

הודעה 1

2006 נובמבר 2006

<pat pecet <pat/2pct@gmail.com</p>
Ario.Etienne@uspto.gov
pat/2pct@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Etienne Ario (SPE)

30.11.2006

My name is Mordechai Hammer and I'm the Inventor of patent application # 08/893,211.

I need your help urgently.

My Examiner, Mr. John B. Walsh is not helping me at all. (a complaint against him is going to be sent soon by me to the USPTO with all the evidence).

I have to respond to his office action till the 12/7/2006 - only 7 days from today.

I need a copy of the abstract which he wants me to delete the last sentence from it.

I don't have all my documents with me -as I told him already 2 years ago (and he did not help me at all in this point).

I told him that I have been scammed by my former patent attorneys in Israel which did not give me all my documents of my patent applications in the USPTO.

no advice was given by him to me or help.

the opposite, he asks me again and again to do things that was done and agreed or things that can not be considered as the right way by the law of patents.

In his last response from the date of 11/7/2006 he is asking me again to change my abstract and to delete the last sentence in the abstract.

But in an earlier office action (around the 4/24/2004) he already wrote to me about the abstract as fallow:

"The applicants corrections to the abstract have overcome the objection to the abstract noted in the previous office action and no further modifications are needed to the abstract at this time"

I don't understand why he is asking again in his last response to do it again and to modify and send him again the abstract.

He is using all kind of "ways" not to give me a patent and to let the years of my patent "fly" and "fade" away and I'm afraid that he is trying to "kill" my patent application.

It is already 10 Years that this application is going on and already 4 examiners have made "mistakes" in this application and the USPTO have stooped them from examine this application.

What it going there in the USPTO with this application and my other patents and patent applications?

Very "strange" things happened with my patents and patent applications in the USPTO and I demand an investigation in the USPTO about all the things that happened there with the examiners and my former patent attorneys.

Right now I need your help urgently to receive the abstract and the latest set of claims and a detailed description which claims are not in a proper status (as was indicated in his last office action in paragraph 4)

...view--pt&th=10f3a1f44304d592&search--inbox&qt=&ww=779&zx=87578d9u1apm 30/11/2006

ESS MAIS:SI 700S 60 .nel

FAX NO. : 972 3 5748867

FROM: FAX

PAGE 24729 * RCVD AT 1/8/2007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/16 * DNIS:27:38300 * CSID:97:2 5748867 * DURATION (mm-55):15-02

Tel/Fax to send it : 972-3-574-8867 or to my email

My Celi is: 972-50-769-3244

Please help me.

I must say that I have been helped in the past by other examiners which was very very helpful to me in my hard situation (but non of them was the direct examiner that was examine my patent applications).

Please be one of them and help me.

I'm a normal person in a very "strange situations" (scams) that belongs to my patents.

Pleas look in my patent applications and patent "process" and you will see immediately how strange are the situations with them.

My first patent attorney have scamed me and made himself a primary applicant in my pct application.

My second patent attorney have nominate the first patent attorney again and spared my applications in the world in the name of my first patent attorney.

my third patent attorney did not tell me that there is a need to pay renewal fee <u>and just after 6</u> <u>years</u> I found out that I don't have a patent at all or a reissue in the USPTO and I lost it because of him and the examiner that was kipping to send him office actions 6 years with out telling me that my patent is "dad" already 6 years. (it was in a reissue proses).

Sorry about my poor English,

Please help,

Mordechai Hammer

...view=pt&th=10f3a1f44304d592&search=inbox&qt=&ww-779&zx=87578d9u1apm 30/11/2006

EKOW : EUX 1947 09 S001 IS:SIUM 654

PACE 25/29 * RCVD AT 1/812007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFTRE-1/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:972 3 5748867 * DURATION (mm-ss):15-02

APPENDIX



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Potent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNIEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE MORDECHAI HAMMER 2036.018PCT 8754 07/30/1997 08/894,211 EXAMINER 12/08/2006 7590 WALSH, JOHN B Mordechai Hammer P O Box 6749 AIRMAIL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER RAMAT GAN, 52167 2151 ISRAEL DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SS9 MASS:SI 700S 60 .nbl

FAX NO. : 972 3 5748867

FROM: FAX

PAGE 26/29 * RCVD AT 1/8/2007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:972 3 5748867 * DURATION (mm-ss):15-02

	Abbusessin		
Interview Summary	08/894,211	HAMMER, MORDI	ECHAI
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	John B. Wedsh	2151	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER			ED CENTER
(1) John B. Walsh.	(3) <u>Ario Ettiene</u> .	JAN 08	1
(2) <u>Zarni Maung</u> .	(4) <u>Mordechal Hammer</u> .		
Date of Interview: 12/4,12/5 & 12/6.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>50</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ I	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	•		
·			
}			
- Lord lang-	ARIO ETIENNE PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
ZARNI MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	jel B. L) A PRIA	IOHN WALSH MANY EXAMIN
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20061206

PAGE 27/29 * RCVD AT 1/8/2007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:972 3 5748867 * DURATION (mm-ss):15-02

A complete written statement as to the substance of any lace-to-fees, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an application with the examiner was reached at the interview. Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Interview of an interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (SO U.S.C. 182) warranting feverable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135.

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be peid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself

In a action or the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the fallure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

which bear directly on the question of patentaphiny.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the

out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence or if other either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other either with or prior to the next official communication. circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
 Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.

- 2) an Identification of the claims discussed,
 3) an identification of the specific prior and discussed,
- an identification of the specific prior and discussed.
 an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
 a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
 a brief identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
 a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the It the claims are allowable for outer reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

2

PAGE 28/29 " RCVD AT 1/8/2007 5:08:16 PM [Eastern Standard Time] " SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/16 " DNIS:2738300 " CSID:972 3 5748867 " DURATION (mm-ss):15-02 Application No. 08/894,21

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an

The applicant was inquiring on how to properly respond to the notice of non-compliant amendment of agreement was reached, or any other comments: 11/7/2006. Mr Maung (12/5) and Mr. Etienne (12/4,12/6) indicated how to properly make the changes to the abstract and claim 50. The abstract needs to be presented on a separate sheet in its entirety with the changes shown. See and craim so. The absuract house to be presented on a separate shock in its ordinery with the changes relative to the 37CFR 1.121 wherein the full text of any replacement paragraph with markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived. maker must be shown by being placed which double brackets it surkethrough calified be easily parcerved. As concerns amendments to the claims particularly claim 50 wherein double brackets should be used not

parenthesis to indicate deleted subject matter, also strike through of the deleted text may be used as well. See 37 CFR naterioresis to indicate deleted subject matter, also suine directly of the deleted text may be used as treit. Good in 1.121 wherein amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled. Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of an existing claim or addition of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims ever presented, including the text of all pending and withdrawn claims, in the application. The claim listing, including the text of the claims, in the amendment document will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims, in the application. In the claim listing, the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following Identifiers in a parenthetical expression: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New), and (Not entered). (1) Claim listing. All of the claims presented in a claim listing shall be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive claims having the same status of "canceled" or "not entered" may be aggregated into one statement (e.g., Claims 1-5 (canceled)). The claim listing shall commence on a separate sheet of the amendment document and the sheet(s) that contain the text of any part of the claims shall not contain any other part of the amendment. (2) When claim text with markings is required. All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of "currently amended," and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. Only claims matter thust be shown by being placed within abuse practices it suite already calmot be easily perceived. Only calmot having the status of "currently amended," or "withdrawn" if also being amended, shall include markings. If a withdrawn claim is currently amended, its status in the claim listing may be identified as "withdrawn-currently amended.".

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 08 2007

FROM: FAX

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

<u>Title:</u> Extendsible and retractable elements and various uses for the elements.

In its basic embodiment (100), a flexible loop (118) connects two elements (104, 106). When moved on one element, the loop transmits motion to the other element (104, 106,) or object (119). Additional linked elements and loops can be added, to extend and multiply the movement. When the user cause the loop a driving motion, the second element receives a driven motion and moves, which causes to additional elements or objects to move also. This can multiply any movement. The system transmits and multiplies movements via telescopic sticks for cleaning, gardening, vacuuming, measuring, painting, guarding and in other tasks and fields. It works with tools and structures including stands, musical instruments, umbrellas, shades, chairs, tripods, tables, tents, boats, and equipment for cargo transportation and delivery. The invention can be applied to and improve many other devices, structures and systems.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY